

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/664,797	Applicant(s) NEUBERGER ET AL.
	Examiner THOMAS MANSFIELD	Art Unit 3624

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Non-final rejection(1) THOMAS MANSFIELD, Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeremy Monaldo, Applicants' Representative.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 May 2010**Time:** 1:00 pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

Claim 20

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas Mansfield/
Examiner, Art Unit 3624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Mansfield initiated an interview with Mr. Monaldo to suggest amending independent Claim 20 to recite, "non-transitory computer program product" because as currently recited, Claim 20 does not have statutory support under 35 USC 101. Mr. Monaldo agreed with Mr. Mansfield and the claim has been amended to provide statutory support.